#### Random Tessellation Forests

Shufei Ge<sup>1</sup>, Shijia Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Yee Whye Teh<sup>3</sup>, Liangliang Wang<sup>1</sup> and Lloyd T. Elliott<sup>1</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Simon Fraser University, Canada <sup>2</sup>Nankai University, China <sup>3</sup>University of Oxford, UK



# Projectivity (definition by picture)



# Projectivity (definition by picture)



# Projectivity (definition by picture)





# Related work

- Ostomachion process (Fan et al. 2016)
- Binary space partitioning tree process (Fan et al. 2018)
- Binary space partitioning forests (Fan et al. 2019)
- Stable iterated tessellations (Nagel and Weiss. 2005)
- Mondrian forests (Lakshminarayanan et al. 2014)



Roy and Teh. 2008



Fan et al. 2019

## Notation

• A tessellation Y(W) of a set  $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is a finite set of polytopes *s.t.*:

 $\bigcup_{a \in Y(W)} a = W, \text{ and } \forall a, b \in Y(W), \text{interior}(a) \bigcap \text{ interior}(b) = \emptyset.$ 

- A polytope is a bounded, nonempty intersection of closed half-planes.
- Let [S] be the set of affine hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  intersecting  $S \subseteq W$ .



# Stable iterated tessellations

Any measure  $\Lambda$  on H = [W] induces a tessellation-valued MJP:

Algorithm 1 Generative Process for RTPs

- 1: Inputs: a) Bounded domain W, b) RTP measure  $\Lambda$  on H, c) prespecified budget  $\tau$ .
- 2: **Outputs:** A realisation of the Random Tessellation Process  $(Y_t)_{0 \le t \le \tau}$ .
- 3:  $\tau_0 \leftarrow 0$ .
- 4:  $Y_0 \leftarrow \{W\}$ .
- 5: while  $\tau_0 \leq \tau$  do
- 6: Sample  $\tau' \sim \operatorname{Exp}\left(\sum_{a \in Y_{\tau_0}} \Lambda([a])\right)$ .
- 7: Set  $Y_t \leftarrow Y_{\tau_0}$  for all  $t \in (\tau_0, \min\{\tau, \tau_0 + \tau'\}]$ .
- 8: Set  $\tau_0 \leftarrow \tau_0 + \tau'$ .
- 9: **if**  $\tau_0 \leq \tau$  **then**
- 10: Sample a polytope a from the set  $Y_{\tau_0}$  with probability proportional to  $(w.p.p.t.) \Lambda([a])$ .
- 11: Sample a hyperplane h from [a] according to the probability measure  $\Lambda(\cdot \cap [a])/\Lambda([a])$ .
- 12:  $Y_{\tau_0} \leftarrow (Y_{\tau_0}/\{a\}) \cup \{a \cap h^-, a \cap h^+\}$ .  $(h^- \text{ and } h^+ \text{ are the } h\text{-bounded closed half planes.})$
- 13: else
- 14: **return** the tessellation-valued right-continuous MJP sample  $(Y_t)_{0 \le t \le \tau}$ .

# Conditions for projectivity

• Theorem [Nagel and Weiss 2005]. If  $\Lambda$  is translation invariant and symmetric and supported on an orthogonal set of d hyperplanes, then for all measurable subsets  $W' \subseteq W$ ,  $Y(W') =_d Y(W) \cap W'$ .

#### Random Tessellation Processes

• Every hyperplane  $h \in H$  can be written uniquely as:

$$h = \{P : \langle n, P - un \rangle = 0\} \text{ s.t. } n \in S^{d-1}, u \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.$$

• Then,  $\varphi: S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \longmapsto H$  by  $\varphi(n, u) = h$  is a bijection.

• Any measure  $\Lambda$  on H is induced by a measure  $\Lambda \circ \varphi$  on  $S^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ .

## Random Tessellation Processes

- Let Λ ∘ φ be the product measure λ<sup>d</sup> × λ<sub>+</sub> such that λ<sup>d</sup> is symmetric and λ<sub>+</sub> is the Lebesgue measure on ℝ<sub>>0</sub>.
- **Theorem.**  $\Lambda \circ \varphi$  is translation invariant and symmetric. (Proof in the *Supplementary Material*.)
- We refer to such  $\Lambda$  as Random Tessellation Process (RTP) measures.
- All RTP measures induce projective tessellations.

Relation to cutting Bayesian nonparametrics

- The Mondrian process is an RTP with  $\lambda^d$  a set of delta functions on the poles of  $S^{d-1}$  (MRTP).
- The binary space partitioning tree process is an RTP with  $\lambda^d$  the uniform measure on the sphere (uRTP).
- The binary space partitioning forest is an RTP with λ<sup>d</sup> a convolution between uniform measures and delta functions.
- Weighted versions of these RTPs encode priors over variable importance: wMRTP, wuRTP.

# Modelling data with RTPs

 For categorical data, we associate beta/Bernoulli parameters to each polytope, yielding an RTP posterior.



The Mondrian cube dataset

# Inference

- We derive a sequential Monte Carlo (SMC) algorithm for inference.
- We consider random forest versions of RTPs (uRTF, MRTF and wuRTF, wMRTF).
- We also implement an efficient RTF in a similar way to the Mondrian forest (Lakshminarayanan et al. 2015), in which likelihoods are dropped from the SMC sampler (uRTF.i and MRTF.i). We also use pausing conditions:  $\tau = \infty$ , and spherical approximations.

# Inference

Algorithm 2 SMC for inferring RTP posteriors

- 1: **Inputs:** a) Training dataset V, Z, b) RTP measure  $\Lambda$  on H, c) prespecified budget  $\tau$ , d) likelihood hyperparameter  $\alpha$ .
- 2: **Outputs:** Approximate RTP posterior  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \varpi_m \delta_{\Lambda_{\tau}}$  at time  $\tau$ . ( $\varpi_m$  are particle weights.)

3: Set 
$$\tau_m \leftarrow 0$$
, for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .  
4: Set  $\bigcup_{0,m} \leftarrow \{\text{hull } V\}, \varpi_m \leftarrow 1/M$ , for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .  
5: while  $\min\{\tau_m\}_{m=1}^M < \tau$  do  
6: Resample  $\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}$  from  $\{\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}\}_{m=1}^M$  w.p.p.t.  $\{\varpi_m\}_{m=1}^M$ , for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .  
7: Set  $\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m} \leftarrow \bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}$ , for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .  
8: Set  $\varpi_m \leftarrow 1/M$ , for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .  
9: for  $m \in \{m : m = 1, ..., M$  and  $\tau_m < \tau\}$  do  
10: Sample  $\tau' \sim \text{Exp}\left(\sum_{a \in \bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}} r_a^a\right)$ .  $(r_a \text{ is the radius of the smallest closed ball containing a.)}$   
11: Set  $\bigotimes_{t,m} \leftarrow \bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}$ , for all  $t \in (\tau_m, \min\{\tau, \tau_m + \tau'\}]$ .  
12: if  $\tau_m + \tau' \leq \tau$  then  
13: Sample a from the set  $\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}$  w.p.p.t.  $r_a$ .  
14: Sample h from  $[a]$  according to  $\Lambda(\cdot \cap [a])/\Lambda([a])$  using Section 2.2.1.  
15: Set  $\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m} \leftarrow (\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}/\{a\}) \cup \{\text{hull}(V \cap a \cap h^-), \text{hull}(V \cap a \cap h^+)\}$ .  
16: Set  $\varpi_m \leftarrow \varpi_m P(Z|\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}, V, \alpha)/P(Z|\bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}, V, \alpha)$  according to (3).  
17: else  
18: Set  $\bigotimes_{t,m} \leftarrow \bigotimes_{\tau_m,m}$ , for  $t \in (\tau_m, \tau]$ .  
19: Set  $\tau_m \leftarrow \tau_m + \tau'$ .  
20: Set  $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \sum_{m=1}^M \varpi_m$ .  
21: Set  $\varpi_m \leftarrow \varpi_m/\mathcal{Z}$ , for  $m = 1, ..., M$ .

# Results

- GL85: X = gene expression in glioblastoma tissue, Y = astrocytoma grade (N = P = 8!
- SCZ42: X = gene expression in superior temporal cortex, Y = schizophrenia indicator (N = P = 42).
- SCZ51: X = gene expression in anterior prefrontal cortex, Y = schizophrenia indicator (N = P = 51).

■ *SCZ93*: *SCZ51*+*SCZ51*.



## Results

Dataset	BL	LR	SVM	RF	MRTF.i	uRTF.i	MRTF	uRTF	wMRTF	wuRTF
GL85	70.34	58.13	70.34	73.01	70.74	70.06	77.09	70.60	80.57	84.90
SCZ42	46.68	57.65	46.79	51.76	49.56	48.50	49.91	47.71	53.12	53.97
SCZ51	46.55	51.15	46.67	57.38	52.55	48.58	57.95	44.70	58.12	49.05
SCZ93	48.95	53.05	50.15	52.45	50.23	50.24	51.80	50.34	53.12	54.99

Table: Percent correct. Bold indicates nominal conservative sign test significance.

#### Future work

- Relax spherical approximation.
- Hypermanifold cutting.
- Online PG inference.
- Additive regression trees.